

# How to make second-order nonlinear BSSN well-posed with boundaries

Penn State numerical relativity lunchtime seminar, 19 Feb 2004

Carsten Gundlach, University of Southampton  
José María Martín-García, CSIC (Madrid)

- Motivation
- Well-posedness for first-order systems  
Gustafsson, Kreiss & Olinger
- Constraint-preserving boundary conditions  
Calabrese et al (LSU group)
- Second order in space, first order in time  
gr-qc/0402079
- Making the ADM equations well-posed  
gr-qc to come

## Motivation I: Types of errors and “instabilities”

- a) Getting the wrong solution (eg phase errors)
- b) Correct solution in a bad gauge (eg kinks in the slice)
- c) Constraint violations ( $\infty$  larger solution space!)

**or:**

- 1) Perturbation modes with bounded growth

$$\|\delta u(\cdot, t)\| \leq f(t) \|\delta u(\cdot, 0)\|$$

— these converge away

- 2) Perturbation modes that grow arbitrarily rapidly

— show up as grid modes

— destroy convergence

## Motivation II: Well-posedness of the continuum problem

- Initial-value problem
  - can use Fourier transform
  - strong hyperbolicity
- Initial-boundary value problem
  - need energy methods
  - symmetric hyperbolicity, for both the main and the constraint system
- Generalize energy methods from first to second order

# FIRST ORDER IN SPACE AND TIME

## Well-posedness

Start from a system of quasilinear, first-order evolution equations:

$$\partial_t u = P^i(u) \partial_i u + S(u)$$

where  $u(x^i, t)$  is a vector,  $P^i$  square matrices.

Example: The ADM equations reduced to first order by defining  $d_{ijk} \equiv \partial_i \gamma_{jk}$ .

The problem is well-posed if the solution exists, is unique, and **depends continuously** on the initial data.

## Linearization

Linearize around a background solution  $u_0$ :

$$\partial_t \delta u = P^i(u_0) \partial_i \delta u + Q(u_0) \delta u$$

Well-posedness requires that the solution of the linearized problem is bounded as

$$\|\delta u(\cdot, t)\| \leq f(t) \|\delta u(\cdot, 0)\|$$

where  $f(t)$  does **not** depend on  $\delta u(x, 0)$ .

## Frozen coefficients approximation

We are interested in potential high-frequency instabilities: approximate  $P^i(u_0)$  and  $Q(u_0)$  as constant.

$Q$  contributes a factor  $e^{|Q|t}$  to the bound  $f(t)$ : neglect it.

This leaves

$$\partial_t \delta u = P^i \partial_i \delta u$$

## Fourier transform

Writing  $u$  instead of  $\delta u$  now,

$$\partial_t u = P^i \partial_i u$$

Fourier transform

$$u(x, t) = \hat{u}(t) e^{i\omega_i x^i}$$

gives an ODE system

$$\partial_t \hat{u} = i|\omega| P_n u$$

where

$$P_n \equiv n_i P^i, \quad n_i \equiv \frac{\omega_i}{|\omega|}$$

## Strong hyperbolicity

If  $P_n$  can be diagonalized as  $P_n = T\Lambda T^{-1}$  then with  $\hat{U} \equiv T^{-1}\hat{u}$

$$\partial_t \hat{U} = i|\omega| \hat{U} \Lambda$$

With  $\Lambda$  real

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(\omega, t)| &\leq |T| |\hat{U}(\omega, t)| \\ &= |T| |\hat{U}(\omega, 0)| \\ &\leq |T| |T^{-1}| |\hat{u}(\omega, 0)| \end{aligned}$$

With  $T$  continuous in  $n_i$ , and Parseval

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\| \leq |T| |T^{-1}| \|u(\cdot, 0)\|$$

## Ill-posed systems

With a complex eigenvalue  $a \pm ib$ ,

$$|\hat{u}(t)| \sim |\hat{u}(0)|e^{b|\omega|t}$$

With a Jacobi block of size  $k$ ,

$$|\hat{u}(t)| \sim |\hat{u}(0)|(|\omega|t)^{k-1}$$

In either case the blow-up depends on  $|\omega|$  and hence on  $u(x, 0)$ .

In numerics,  $|\omega|$  is set by the spatial resolution.

## Characteristic variables

Fix a unit vector  $n^i$ . Decompose  $\partial_i = (\partial_n, \partial_A)$ .

$U$  is a characteristic variable with respect to  $n_i$  with speed  $\lambda$  if

$$\partial_t U_\lambda = \lambda \partial_n U_\lambda + \partial_A \dots$$

For a first order system

$$U = T^{-1} u$$

with  $T$  diagonalizing  $P_n$  as before.

## Symmetric hyperbolicity

If  $H$  exists that is Hermitian, positive definite, **independent** of  $n_i$ , and  $HP^i = (HP^i)^\dagger$ , we have an energy

$$E = \int_{\Omega} u^\dagger H u dV$$

that is conserved

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt} &= \int_{\Omega} \partial_i (u^\dagger H P^i u) dV \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^\dagger H P_n u) dS \end{aligned}$$

Bound on positive definite energy

$\Rightarrow$  bound on  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|$

$\Rightarrow$  Well-posedness

## Maximally dissipative boundary conditions

Simple example: speeds  $\pm\lambda$

$$E = \int_{\Omega} (U_+^2 + U_-^2) dV$$
$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(U_+^2 - U_-^2) dS$$

Control  $E$  by

$$U_+ = \kappa U_- + \text{free data}, \quad |\kappa| \leq 1$$

## Constraint-preserving boundary conditions

Main system and constraint system both symmetric hyperbolic. For each  $C_{\pm}$  there is a  $U_{\pm}$  with

$$C_{\pm} = \partial_n U_{\pm} + \partial_A \dots$$

**Formally** impose a maximally dissipative boundary condition

$$C_+ = \kappa C_-$$

on the constraint system.  $X \equiv U_+ - \kappa U_-$  gives

$$\partial_n X + \partial_A \dots = 0$$

**Boundary system** for  $X$

$$\partial_t X = \partial_A \dots$$

**Actually** impose

$$U_+ = \kappa U_- + X$$

on the main system. Calabrese et al (LSU group)

# FIRST ORDER IN TIME, SECOND ORDER IN SPACE

## Strong hyperbolicity

Define complete set of characteristic variables

$$\partial_t U_\lambda = \lambda \partial_n U_\lambda + \partial_A \dots$$

where now  $U$  is made from  $\tilde{u} \equiv (u, \partial_i u)$ .

This definition of strong hyperbolicity is equivalent to that of Kreiss & Ortiz using a pseudo-differential reduction to first order.

1. Any transversal derivative is a zero speed characteristic variable:

$$\partial_t(\partial_A u) = \partial_A \dots$$

2. Characteristic variables are unique only up to addition of transversal derivatives:

$$U'_\lambda = U_\lambda + \partial_A \dots$$

## Symmetric hyperbolicity

1. Find a positive definite energy

$$E = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(\tilde{u}) dV$$

conserved in the sense that

$$\partial_t \epsilon = \partial_i F^i$$

2. Find characteristic variables  $U$  (not unique).
3. Add  $\partial_A u$  terms to  $U$  to obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(U_+^2 - U_-^2) dS$$

( $U$  now unique).

Maximally dissipative and constraint-preserving boundary conditions as before.

## ADM form of the Einstein equations

3+1 split of the spacetime metric

$$ds^2 = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}(dx^i + \beta^i dt)(dx^j + \beta^j dt)$$

Shorthand notation

$$\partial_0 \equiv \alpha^{-1}(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta)$$

Evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 \gamma_{ij} &= -2K_{ij} \\ \partial_0 K_{ij} &= -\alpha^{-1} D_i D_j \alpha + R_{ij} - 2K_{il} K^{lj} + K K_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

Constraints

$$\begin{aligned}H &\equiv R - K_{ij} K^{ij} + K^2 = 0 \\ M_i &\equiv D_j K^j_i - D_i K = 0\end{aligned}$$

## NOR modifications of ADM

Principal part of evolution equations is

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 \gamma_{ij} &\simeq -2K_{ij} \\ \partial_0 K_{ij} &\simeq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{kl} \left( -\gamma_{ij,kl} - \gamma_{kl,ij} + \gamma_{ki,jl} + \gamma_{kj,il} \right)\end{aligned}$$

1. Densitize the lapse:

$$\alpha = (\det \gamma)^{\sigma/2} Q$$

with  $\sigma > 0$  and  $Q(x^i, t)$  given instead of  $\alpha$ .

2. Define auxiliary variables

$$f_i \equiv \gamma^{jk} \gamma_{ij,k}$$

$$\partial_0 K_{ij} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{kl} \left( -\gamma_{ij,kl} - (1 + \sigma) \gamma_{kl,ij} + f_{i,j} + f_{j,i} \right)$$

3. Use the momentum constraint to evolve  $f_i$ :

$$\partial_t f_i \simeq -2\gamma^{jk} K_{ij,k} \simeq -2K_{,i}$$

Strongly hyperbolic in a pseudo-differential sense, and the constraint system too.

(Nagy, Ortiz and Reula 2003)

## Our main results

- NOR and its constraint system are also symmetric hyperbolic (in our definition).
- BSSN is symmetric hyperbolic with a densitized lapse and  $tr\tilde{A}_{ij} = 0$  and  $\det\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = 1$  imposed continuously.
  - Strongly hyp. enforcing only  $tr\tilde{A}_{ij} = 0$ .
  - Strongly hyp. modifying equations.
- NOR and BSSN allow constraint-preserving boundary conditions
  - Proof of well-posedness for Dirichlet and Neumann
  - No ill-posed modes for  $|\kappa| \leq 1$  (eg Sommerfeld)

## Future work

- Numerical testbed Maxwell equations
- Mexico tests and more in full GR
- Why bother with BSSN?
- Modify energy methods to prove well-posedness of the initial-boundary value problem.
- Add hyperbolic or parabolic coordinate conditions ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta^i$  are evolved).